Doc Code: AP.PRE.REO

Under the Panenwork Reduction Act

FEB 1 1 2008

PTO/SB/23 (07-05)

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Chack the Laboratory Act of Today and Today an		Docket Number (Optional)		
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		02906.0357-00000		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application N	umber	Filed	
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for	10/696,736		October 30, 2003	
Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]				
on	First Named Inventor			
Signature	Patrick R. LANCASTER, III			
Typed or printed	Art Unit Examiner			
name				
	3651		Prakasam, Ramya G.	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
I am the			1/ 0	
applicant/inventor.		/ Mm	ignature	
assignee of record of the entire interest.				
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	d. Thomas Y. Ho Typed or printed name		mas Y. Ho	
		Туреа	printed name	
attorney or agent of record.		. (202) 408-4420	
Registration number	Telephone number			
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.				
		February 11, 2008		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 61,539			Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.				

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete application form to the OSFTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case, more comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

*Total of 1 forms are submitted.



Customer No.: 22,852

Attorney Docket No.: 02906.0357

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Patrick R. LANCASTER, III et al.) Group Art Unit: 3651
Application No.: 10/696,736) Examiner: Prakasam, Ramya G
Filed: October 30, 2003)
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR) Confirmation No.: 6347

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Applicant requests a pre-appeal brief review of the final Office Action mailed October 9, 2007, the reply period having been extended to February 11, 2008 (February 9, 2008 falling on a Saturday) by a one-month Petition for Extension of Time and fee payment filed herewith. This request is being filed concurrently with a Notice of Appeal.

Status of the Claims

In the final Office Action, claims 24-31, 38, 43, 44, 46-50, 54, 62, 65, 67-71, 83, 86, and 173 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,098,254 to Becicka et al. ("Becicka"); and claims 32, 45, 51-53, 66, 72-74, 174-180, 182-187, 189-194, 196-199, and 201 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becicka.

Anticipation Rejection

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 24-31, 38, 43, 44, 46-50, 54, 62, 65, 67-71, 83, 86, and 173 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Becicka.

Application No.: 10/696,736 Attorney Docket No.: 02906.0357

Applicant submits that Becicka does not teach every element of those claims, and thus, the rejection cannot be maintained. See M.P.E.P. § 2131.

Becicka discloses a palletizer 10 that includes a Y-axis assembly 18; a hand assembly 20 for grasping rows of cartons 12, hand assembly 20 being mounted to an extendable end 22 of Y-axis assembly 18; and a carriage 24 that moves Y-axis assembly 18 vertically along a vertical support column 16. See Becicka, column 2, lines 16-25 and 32-34. Palletizer 10 also includes adjustable limit switches 32 and 42, first and second photodetectors 56 and 58, and first and second proximity detectors 62 and 64 ("switches and detectors"). See Id. at column 2, lines 42 and 57; and column 3, lines 12, 17, 50, and 56. However, Becicka does not use the switches, photodetectors, or proximity detectors to define an area to be filled, or to determine when an area is filled, as alleged on page 3 of the final Office Action.

First, it is not apparent how the switches and detectors in Becicka could possibly define a horizontal area to be filled by a layer of cartons 12, and determine when that area has been filled. Palletizer 10 builds the first layer by placing the first row of cartons 12 (the bottom right row in FIG. 1) with the help of limit switches 32 and 42, then placing the next two rows (the bottom middle and the bottom left rows in FIG. 1) with the help of limit switch 32 and proximity detector 64, with each subsequent row being placed closer to vertical support column 16 than the one before. *See Id.* at column 4, lines 47-66; column 5, lines 1-8; and FIG. 1. However, none of the switches and detectors is placed so as to define the position of the left edge of pallet 14 (the edge closest to vertical support column 16 in FIG. 1), or determine when the left edge has been reached by cartons 12.

Along those same lines, it is not apparent how the switches and detectors in Becicka could define a vertical area to be filled by all of the layers of cartons 12, and determine when that area has been filled (i.e., when the load is completed). Palletizer 10 builds the load from the bottom

Application No.: 10/696,736 Attorney Docket No.: 02906.0357

layer up. See Id. at column 5, lines 9-25. However, none of the switches and detectors is placed so as to define a load height or fill limit, or otherwise determine when such limit has been reached by the top layer of cartons 12. Thus, the switches and detectors are not used to define the area to be filled, or determine when the area has been filled.

If the switches and detectors in Becicka are not used to define the area to be filled, or determine when an area is filled, then how does palletizer 10 know when to stop? Becicka clearly states that "[p]rogramming of the control system involves entering various predetermined operational data, such as the number of the cartons 12 per row, the orientation of each of the cartons 12 within the row, spacing (per user requirements) between the adjacent cartons 12 within the row and the total number of the cartons 12 in a layer, or in a fully loaded form of the pallet 14." *Id.* at column 4, lines 30-36. In other words, the total number of cartons 12 for a full load is predetermined operational data that is programmed or entered into the control system, and is not defined and determined using the switches and detectors.

Thus, while the switches and detectors in palletizer 10 may assist with filling an area with cartons 12, those switches and detectors do not define the area to be filled, or determine when that area has been filled. That information is programmed into the control system. Accordingly, Becicka fails to teach "defining a desired area of a load to be filled with product using at least two of a height sensor, a length sensor, and a width sensor... and determining when the desired area is filled using at least two of the height sensor, the length sensor, and the width sensor," as recited in independent claim 24.

Independent claims 48, 67, and 173, while of different scope from independent claim 24, each recite similar features. Thus, Becicka fails to anticipate independent claims 48, 67, and 173 for at least reasons similar to those discussed above with respect to independent claim 24.

Application No.: 10/696,736

Attorney Docket No.: 02906.0357

Obviousness Rejection

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 32, 45, 51-53, 66, 72-74, 174-180, 182-187, 189-194, 196-199, and 201 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becicka. Applicant submits that the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness with respect to those claims. See M.P.E.P. § 2143.

As discussed above, Becicka fails to teach or suggest each of the limitations in independent claims 24, 48, and 67, from which claims 32, 45, 51-53, 66, and 72-74 depend. Therefore, claims 32, 45, 51-53, 66, and 72-74 are allowable at least for the same reasons that independent claims 24, 48, and 67 are allowable.

Independent claims 174, 178, 182, 189, 196, and 201, while of different scope from independent claim 24, each recite similar features. Thus, Becicka fails to anticipate independent claims 174, 178, 182, 189, 196, and 201 for at least reasons similar to those discussed above with respect to independent claim 24.

Independent claim 176 recites, inter alia, "[a] method comprising . . . automatically repeating the moving and depositing steps by repeating a single logic sequence for at least two consecutive moving and depositing steps; wherein the first moving and first depositing steps load a first product having a first size onto the load, and wherein the second moving and second depositing steps load a second product having a second size different from the first size onto the load." Becicka only shows items of a single size in the load shown in FIG. 1. Further, palletizer 10 would not work if differently sized cartons 12 were to be used, since proximity detector 62 operates on the assumption that the layers have a single height. See Id. at column 5, lines 9-19. For at least these reasons, Applicant submits that independent claim 176 is allowable.

Application No.: 10/696,736

Attorney Docket No.: 02906.0357

Independent claim 201, while of different scope from independent claim 176, recites similar

features. Thus, Becicka fails to render claim 201 obvious for at least reasons similar to those

discussed above with respect to independent claim 2176.

Claims 25-31, 38, 43, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 54, 62, 65, 68-71, 83, 86, 175, 177, 179-181, 183-

188, 190-195, 197-200, and 202, each depend from one of independent claims 24, 48, 67, 173,

174, 176, 178, 182, 189, 196, and 201, and are allowable for at least the reasons stated above

that independent claims 24, 48, 67, 173, 174, 176, 178, 182, 189, 196, and 201 are allowable. In

addition, each of the dependent claims recites unique combinations that are neither taught nor

suggested by the cited art, and therefore each is also separately patentable.

Applicant requests that withdrawn claims 181, 188, 195, 200, and 202 be rejoined with the

elected claims in this application. Claims 181, 188, 195, 200, and 202 all depend either directly or

indirectly from one of independent claims 174, 176, 178, 182, 189, 196, and 201, and thus, are

allowable for at least the same reasons that independent claims 174, 176, 178, 182, 189, 196, and

201 are allowable. In addition, each of these withdrawn dependent claims recites unique

combinations that are neither taught nor suggested by the cited art, and therefore each is also

separately patentable.

Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicants request that the rejection of these claims be withdrawn and

the claims allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: February 11, 2008

Rea. No. 61.539

5